

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/649,120

Applicant(s)

LAURENT ET AL.

Examiner

Kristie Shingles

Art Unit

2141

## All Participants:

(1) Kristie Shingles, Examiner.

(2) Chris Tobin, Atty. 40,290.

Status of Application: ALLOWED

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 2 March 2007

Time: 12:00pm

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

90

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

## Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

## Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Atty for authorization to perform an Examiner's Amendment to correct the claim language of claim 90 to depend on claim 70 instead of 68. Atty agreed with this change in claim dependency and authorized Examiner to amend accordingly.